	Case 3:14-cv-00044-SI Document 10 Filed 09/26/14 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	HUY THE LUU, No. C 14-044 SI (pr)
9	Petitioner, ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR COUNSEL
10	V.
11	ROBERT GOWER, Warden,
12	Respondent.
13	
14	In an order filed May 19, 2014, the court dismissed the petition for writ of habeas corpus
15	with leave to file an amended petition by June 27, 2014. At petitioner's request, the court
16	extended the deadline for the amended petition to July 31, 2014, and then to September 19, 2014.
17	To date, petitioner has not filed an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.
18	Petitioner has requested appointment of counsel. A district court may appoint counsel
19	to represent a habeas petitioner whenever "the court determines that the interests of justice so
20	require" and such person is financially unable to obtain representation. 18 U.S.C. §
21	3006A(a)(2)(B). The decision to appoint counsel is within the discretion of the district court.
22	See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986). Appointment is mandatory only
23	when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to

prevent due process violations. See id. On the record now before the court, appointment of

counsel is not warranted. Petitioner may not have exhausted state court remedies for any claim,

and this action cannot go forward in federal court if there is no exhausted claim. The reason it

appears to be a fully unexhausted petition is because petitioner's appellate attorney filed a

Wende brief and his petition for review requested that the California Supreme Court conduct an

25

26

27

28

Case 3:14-cv-00044-SI Document 10 Filed 09/26/14 Page 2 of 2

"independent *Wende* review." Docket # 1-3 at 7. A *Wende* brief, by its very nature, does not exhaust any federal constitutional claims. *See People v. Wende*, 25 Cal. 3d 436 (1979) (counsel who concludes that an appeal would be frivolous files a brief with the appellate court that summarizes the procedural and factual history of the case, and requests that the appellate court independently examine the record for arguable issues). This court will not appoint counsel in a case that cannot go forward in federal court. Until petitioner presents an amended petition that the court can understand and that looks like it can proceed in federal court, the court cannot determine that the interests of justice require appointment of counsel. The request for appointment of counsel is DENIED. Docket # 9.

Petitioner must file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus by **October 20, 2014** or this action will be dismissed. This deadline will not be further extended because, by the time it arrives, petitioner will have had more than four months to prepare the document.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 26, 2014

United States District Judge